

Learning Points – Resilience Matrix

- Cases that appear in the top right hand quadrant of two axes are in safe situations and bounce back from difficulties. This is the safest area for young people to be.
- In the lower right quadrant are young people who are protected, but for whatever reason are vulnerable. These young people will only need specialist support if their protection is removed, but ideally universal and perhaps targeted services will work to increase to resilience.
- In the top left quadrant of this graph are children and young people in adverse circumstances. These are the children with whom targeted and specialist services should be working to increase the level of protection, whether by raising them from poverty or taking action to safeguard against abuse.
- Young people in the lower left corner of the graph are the most vulnerable young people who do not have the resilience, personally or within their environment to protect themselves. As well as identifying the associated risk this model allows us to develop care plans in two directions – to increase the level of protection and to improve young people's resilience, improving the chances of reducing risk.
- Once criticism of this model is that resilient young people in risky situations [the top left quadrant] may be ignored as relatively safe. It is crucial to recognise that no matter how resilient young people may be, there will be times when the risks are too severe to ignore and the presence of resilience factors should never be an excuse for lack of attention or inaction.
- Further reading: Daniel B, Wassell S & Gilligan R [1999] *Child development for child care and protection workers*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publications

Resilience / Vulnerability Mat	rix INTERVENTIONS
Good attachment Good self-esteem Sociability High IQ Flexible temperament Problem solving skills Positive parenting Attractive	Strengthen protective factors and resilience Reduce problems and address vulnerability Achieve initial small improvements
D Resilience V Y	ULNERABLE CHILD PROTECTED
	Protective
	environment Good school experience One support adult Special help with behavioural problems Community networks Leisure activities Talents and interests
Vulnerability	
Poor attachment Minority status Young age Disability History of abuse Innate characteristics in child/family which threaten/challenge develop A loner/isolation Institutional care Early childhood trauma Communication differences Inconsistent/neglectful care	RESILIENT CHILD PROTECTED
	Good attachment Good self-esteem Sociability High IQ Flexible temperament Problem solving skills Positive parenting Attractive

https://safeguarding.network confidence in safeguarding